

Agenda item no	Reference no	Location	Proposal / Title
6.3	PA/15/02527	34-40 White Church Lane and 29-31 Commercial Road, E1	Demolition of existing buildings at 34-40 White Church Lane and 29-31 Commercial Road and erection of a ground floor plus 18 upper storey building (75.5m AOD metre) with basement to provide 106sq.m flexible use commercial space (B1/A1/A3 Use Class) at ground floor and 42 residential units (C3 Use Class) above with basement, new public realm, cycle parking and all associated works

1.0 Correction

Executive Summary

- 1.1 Paragraph 2.7 should state "55% of the units (23) benefit from triple aspect with and 18 out of the remaining 19 units double indicative of a good standard of amenity for the future residents aspect" and not 75% of the units benefit from triple aspect
- 1.2 Paragraph 3.2 should state "estimated total financial contributions:£130,952" and not £45,952 as stated.

Proposal

- 1.3 Paragraph 4.8 should state "the flexible use commercial space at ground floor occupies 106sq.m" and not 155sq.m.

Housing Mix

- 1.4 Paragraph 9.52 Table 3 should record 4 not 6 three bedroom affordable rent units and record 2 not zero three bedroom market units.
- 1.5 Paragraph 9.54 should state "the private mix is focussed towards studio units and 1 and 2 bed units with 2 family sized units" and not state "no larger family sized [market] units." zero

Quality of residential accommodation

- 1.6 Paragraph 9.57 should state "with 18 double aspect units" and not 20 units.

Daylight

- 1.7 Paragraph 9.60 should state "93 out of 98 living and bedrooms will achieve the BRE daylight distribution guidance and not 97 out of 98 rooms". The same sentence should also state 97 out of 98 rooms will achieve the BRE Average Daylight Factor guidance and not the stated 93 rooms.
- 1.8 Paragraph 9.83 the cumulative winter sunlight fallings should read 2 fallings classified of minor significance, and not 3.

Child Play Space

1.9 Paragraph 9.68 should state the play space room "provides 40sq.m" and not 48sq.m

2.0 **Typographical Error**

2.1 Same paragraph numbers (Nos. 9.35 to 9.44) are duplicated in the report on Pages 21 and 22 and Pages 23 – 24. The content of the sentences is not duplicated, they contain different content.

3.0 **RECOMMENDATION**

3.1 Officers' original recommendation to APPROVE planning permission remains unchanged.